

Borough of Tenafly

MAYOR AND COUNCIL

COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE

MINUTES

TUESDAY, FEBRUARY 8, 2011

The meeting was called to order at 7:31 P.M. with the reading of the Open Public Meetings Act statement by Mayor Rustin.

Present:	Mayor Peter S. Rustin
Council members:	Barry Honig, Martha Kerge, Nadia LaMastra, Michael Lattif, Jon Warms (arrived at 7:33 p.m.)
Borough Administrator:	Jewel Thompson-Chin
Borough Attorney:	William R. McClure, Esq.
Absent:	Councilman Anthony Barzelatto

Mayor Rustin read the following statement:

"Public Comments: A total of 15 minutes has been allocated for this purpose. Citizens are welcome to address the Mayor and Council on any governmental item of concern to them, whether or not it is scheduled on the agenda below. Citizens must give their names and addresses when recognized to speak. Large groups are urged to select someone to represent them. The public is advised that these meetings are recorded and are subject to the Open Public Records Act

In regard to the Downey Drive Sidewalk Project, Mayor Rustin, with the consensus of the Council, asked that there only be three people from those who oppose and those who support the project to speak.

Steve Savas, 40 S. Park Drive, explained that the changed traffic pattern on Downey Drive has caused problems at the intersection of S. Park Drive and Thatcher. He stated that cars are blocking driveways, walkways, and fire hydrants and creating a very dangerous situation. In terms of the sidewalk installation, he suggested a pickup/drop-off line in which parents are trained to wait in line on the road and either three school staff members or volunteer parents would open the car door to allow the children to get in and out of the vehicles. He explained that this system would allow for the cars to move very quickly and would address the traffic and safety issues without the need for a sidewalk. He also suggested putting a pedestrian lane, much like a bike lane, in the street to allow for pedestrian traffic.

Mayor Rustin assured Mr. Savas that Chief Bruno would be made aware of the problems at his intersection.

Ray Murphy, 48 Downey Drive, expressed his opinion that there needs to be a comprehensive study on the safety of the children during drop-off and pickup at Smith School. He remarked that 1,400 ft. of sidewalk already exists that can be better utilized. Rather than amending the garbage contract to save residents money, he

asked that the Council not spend \$250,000 on the installation of this sidewalk. He also asked the governing body to consider the environmental impact of a sidewalk such as flooding due to the increased impervious coverage and trees being taken down. Mayor Rustin responded that there would be no trees removed.

Marian Acquah, 92 Downey Drive, expressed her opinion that the Council should be focusing on how to eliminate the congestion on Downey Drive and improve the drop-off/pickup system at Smith School as opposed to installing a sidewalk.

Jeff Thompson, 41 Lawrence Parkway, believes that the most critical need is to get the children out of the street. He expressed his opinion that a lot of opposition has come from the fact that the sidewalk was extended to Engle when the H.S.A. had only requested installation to Thatcher. He explained that with the new seatbelt law, kindergarten and 1st graders cannot get themselves out of the car and it is unreasonable to ask that a teacher or volunteer climb into a vehicle to unbuckle a child. For this reason, he believes that there needs to be parking. He agrees that a study should be done, but does not feel that improving the car pool line is the solution.

David Simpson, 12 Woodland Park Drive, stated that there may be the need to bond \$6,000,000 to \$7,000,000 to replace the roofs on the schools. He questioned whether Council was aware of the cost for the roofs when they made their decision to go ahead with the Police Headquarters Project. Mayor Rustin responded that the Council only became aware of the school capital project when Mr. Simpson had. He stated that he understands that it does come out of the same pocket, but informed Mr. Simpson that there is no obligation to inform each other of the capital projects for the schools and Borough. C. Lattif commented although there is a Joint Use Committee, there is a definite lack of communication between the two bodies. He remarked that the solution to this communication breakdown is in the hands of the residents. C. Honig added that he is trying to start a dialogue between the two bodies. He also pointed out that should the school budget be voted down in April, the issue of the roofs would be in the hands of the governing body.

Judy Stern, 17 Wight Place, stated that the increased property taxes are of utmost concern to her at this time. She expressed her displeasure with the company who conducted the revaluation and complained about treatment that she had received from them. She advised Council that the increased taxes will force many people to move out of Tenafly. She asked that the Council cut back on their spending. Mayor Rustin apologized for the negative experience that Ms. Stern had with the revaluation company and advised her that the Council's goal is not to have a municipal tax increase this year.

Johanne Gambrill, 15 Ravine Road, asked that the Light Rail issue be moved up on the agenda. She also questioned who puts the agenda together and commented that she does not feel the current schedule is working. She also expressed her opinion that having a school staff member to work the car drop-off lane is a good solution.

Mayor Rustin pointed out that the meeting was being videotaped. C. Honig advised that he was having his son record the meeting so that it may be placed on the internet. Mayor Rustin asked that if the meeting is going to be video recorded, the governing body be made aware where it was going to be placed. Borough Attorney McClure advised that there is a right to videotape meetings, but the governing body does have the right to impose constraints so that it is not disruptive to the meeting. Mayor Rustin expressed his concern that the recording can be altered if an outside person does the videotaping. Borough Attorney McClure advised that it is a public meeting and anyone has the right to record it. C. Honig explained that it is his intent to place it onto the internet to allow those who are unable to attend the meetings to keep apprised of what is being discussed. Mayor Rustin asked that the recording be offered to Channel 77 and C. Honig agreed.

Johanne Gambrell, 15 Ravine Road, expressed her concern about the fact that the meeting is being videotaped and now a face is linked with a name and address. Mayor Rustin again asked that the recording be placed onto Channel 77 as opposed to the internet.

1. **TO BE CONSIDERED**

A. Introduction to Clerk of the Works/Initial Status Report – Janeen McDonald.

Mrs. Thompson-Chin introduced Janeen McDonald, Principle of Dedicated Services and Clerk of the Works for the Police Headquarters Project. Ms. McDonald reported that Santorini Construction has projected a completion date of December 13, 2011, but no later than January 18, 2012. She gave a status on the temporary trailers of the Police Department, stating that the temporary storage trailer has already been delivered and the trailers for the Police Department are scheduled to arrive the second week of February and be ready for occupancy by April 1, 2011. She reported that pavement of parking spots for the police vehicles is almost complete and permits for the building, plumbing, and electrical work have been issued. As far as telecommunications, the needs of the Police Department have been determined and a Scope of Work capturing all of these requirements is being prepared. David Hals is working on the relocation of the telecommunication equipment to the trailers. Ms. McDonald ended by reporting that the project is currently on budget.

C. Honig asked Ms. McDonald to differentiate between her role and the role of the Architect and David Hals. She stated that she represents the interests of the town and is there to provide input on behalf of the Borough. The Architect ensures that the contractor follows the specifications of the drawings and gives orders directly to the contractor whereas she is there to interface between the two and act on the Borough's behalf. She explained that Mr. Hals is overseeing the trailers. C. Kerge questioned what interaction she had with the Architect. Ms. McDonald responded that she attends the meetings to represent the Borough and to answer any questions about what is required by the town. C. Kerge asked whether any trees would be removed to which Ms. McDonald responded that a few trees in the front would need to be taken down. C. Lattif asked if Ms. McDonald was acting as a surrogate for the Borough Administrator. Ms. McDonald stated that she is the manager of the construction project and is acting as the Borough Administrator's eyes and ears. Mrs. Thompson-Chin added that they communicate on a daily basis. C. Kerge expressed her concern over change orders and asked what Ms. McDonald's role would be to stop them. Ms. McDonald agreed that no one wants change orders, but that there are field conditions that no one can control. She stated that there can be trade-offs to help minimize costs and the most cost-effective solutions would always be sought.

B. Arcari & Iovino Contract Amendment.

Mrs. Thompson-Chin explained that it is the Architect's responsibility to oversee the work of the contractor. Due to the hiring of the Clerk of the Works, extra time is required of the Architect to interact with her and there is an obligation to compensate for this extra time. In order to receive the additional compensation, Arcari & Iovino was required to submit a letter advising the Borough of additional services and requesting compensation for said services. Anthony Iovino submitted said letter which included an estimate for the additional services; he proposed a not-to-exceed amount of \$1,500 for the month of January and \$1,200 thereafter per month.

C. LaMastra voiced her objection to the Architect charging more money as she feels having a Clerk of the Works makes his role easier. She stated that she can understand an initial charge for spending time to orient Ms. McDonald to the project, but if there were not a Clerk of the Works, the Architect would be communicating with the Borough Administrator. Mrs. Thompson-Chin discussed the provisions of the contract that require this additional compensation. She pointed out that the Architect did offer some of the services provided by the Clerk of the Works for an additional cost and the Borough chose not to accept their offer. C. Kerge stated that she is also opposed to this extra fee as she feels that it is simply a sophisticated change order. She expressed her opinion that if having a Clerk of the Works were to result in additional costs charged by the Architect, that fact

should have been made clearer to the Council. C. Lattif questioned the consequence if the Council does not approve this contract amendment. Borough Attorney McClure advised that it is a contractual issue. He stated that C. LaMastra does have a valid point that if the Architect were not interacting with the Clerk of the Works, then he would need to interact with the Borough Administrator. He stated that he can understand the initial charge of getting the Clerk of the Works up-to-speed, but agrees that there is a valid argument against the recurring charges. C. Honig expressed his opinion that this additional cost should have been included in the all-in costs of the Clerk of the Works. He also expressed his concern that the cost will end up to be more significant than is set forth in Mr. Iovino's letter. Mayor Rustin asked for Ms. McDonald's opinion and she responded that the Architect probably would be spending more time with her as opposed to the Borough Administrator. Mrs. Thompson-Chin pointed out that the Architect's contract predates the hiring of the Clerk of the Works and their scope of work was conceived based on their interaction with and management of the contractor and did not include the interaction with the Clerk of the Works. She advised that there is a provision in the contract pertaining to interaction with hired consultants and for this reason, she stated that she strongly supports the Architect's request. C. Honig suggested directing the Architect to determine how to include interaction with the Clerk of the Works into the amount of hours already allotted in the contract. Mrs. Thompson-Chin reminded Council that they had wanted additional oversight and if they do not authorize this additional compensation, then Arcari & Iovino would not attend any extra meetings. Borough Attorney McClure agreed that if the Architect attends extra meetings due to interaction with the Clerk of the Works, then they should receive additional compensation. He reminded Council that he is not in a position to determine whether interaction between the Architect and the Clerk of the Works requires extra meetings, but the Borough Administrator would have this knowledge.

Council agreed to compensate for the additional hours in January spent in orienting the Clerk of the Works to the project, but Council decided not to authorize any additional hours for further interaction with the Clerk of the Works. Council requested that Ms. McDonald advise the Council if the situation becomes unworkable, and, at that time, Council would consider amending Arcari & Iovino's contract to include additional hours for interaction with the Clerk of the Works.

Due to interest from the public, Council decided to address item 1-I next.

I. Downey Drive Sidewalk – Status & Proposed Timeline

Mrs. Thompson-Chin advised that the construction plans for the Downey Drive Sidewalk Project are complete and the plans and specifications are ready to go out to bid. She informed Council that she is seeking authorization to publish the public bid notice. C. LaMastra reminded Council that the decision to install a sidewalk stemmed from the addition to Smith School that took place in 2001 which resulted in increased school population and problems in getting all the children to and from Smith School. She explained that there have been various attempts to resolve these issues, but to date, no solution has been effective. Although the Board of Education supports a sidewalk to Thatcher, it was decided to build the sidewalk to Engle as it was a requirement of a Safe School Grant to have a continuous sidewalk. She pointed out that there is not enough parking at the school and the sidewalk is being installed for the safety of those who need to park on the street to visit the school.

C. LaMastra explained that there was talk of doing a safety study in conjunction with the Board of Education. C. LaMastra expressed her opinion that a traffic circulation study does need to be conducted, but she is concerned that the school will not cooperate with the findings if they do not agree to the study. She expressed her support for the sidewalk, but asked that the cost of the study be put into this year's budget. C. Honig agreed that a study needs to be done that addresses the safety of getting children to and from school. He believes that if a study is conducted that incorporates the input of the school, residents, and police, all involved would agree to the results. In terms of the sidewalk, C. Honig questioned whether there would be proper enforcement to ensure that there is proper snow and ice removal. C. Lattif, too, agreed that a study should be done and it should be

funded by the Borough. He stated that he shares C. LaMastra's concern that some of the findings of the study will not be carried out without the support of the Board of Education. Although the cost is an issue for him, C. Lattif expressed his support of the sidewalk because he does believe that it adds to the safety of the children. However, he strongly believes that having the right kind of study with the right kind of scope and right kind of partnership and commitment to the findings would result in the ultimate safety of the children. Mayor Rustin reminded the Council that the question before them is to authorize publication of the bid notice. He advised them that they could place the question of whether to do a study onto a future agenda. C. Kerge responded that she feels the issue is bigger than a sidewalk. She supports the idea of a study and if the findings of the study warranted the installation of a sidewalk, then she would support the sidewalk. She expressed her dislike of the fact that the school is expecting the surrounding residents to assume much of the responsibility for the safety of the children in getting to and from school and believes the installation of the sidewalk will bring with it a lot of problems. C. Warms expressed his opinion that Downey Drive needs a sidewalk and he is in favor of going ahead with its installation.

The consensus of the Council was to authorize the receipt of bids for the Downey Drive Sidewalk Project. Council also agreed to place the discussion of a study onto the February 22nd Work Session agenda. Council asked that Chief Bruno investigate the traffic issues that have resulted from the changed traffic patterns on Downey Drive.

Due to a request during Public Comment, Council addressed item I-M next.

M. Letter and Resolution Re: Light Rail – Final Draft.

Council approved the final draft of the Light Rail letter and agreed to list the letter and resolution for approval at the February 15th Public Meeting.

Council took a brief recess at 9:29 p.m. The meeting resumed at 9:36 p.m.

C. Environmental Resource Inventory Contract Award.

Mayor Rustin advised that this contract award would be funded by a grant. Mrs. Thompson explained that the project would be coordinated by herself, Eileen Pleva, Chair of the Environmental Commission, and Bob Beutel. Council agreed with the recommendation of the Borough Administrator and would award the contract to Heyer, Gruel & Associates at the February 15th Public Meeting. C. Lattif questioned whether the volunteer services of AmeriCorps offered in a letter submitted to Council could be used in this project. Mrs. Thompson-Chin responded that the letter had been referred to Bob Beutel for his response, but she did feel that it may be a possibility.

D. Municipal Center Windows Bid.

Mr. McClure advised that the three lowest bids had been reviewed. The lowest bidder's Consent of Surety was noncompliant and had to be disqualified. Panoramic Window & Door Systems was the second lowest bidder and Mr. McClure advised that although there was one minor defect, it was a curable defect, making their bid the lowest qualifying bidder. Council agreed to award the Municipal Center Windows Project to Panoramic Window & Door Systems at the February 15th Public Meeting.

E. Xerox Copier Agreement.

Mrs. Thompson-Chin informed Council that there is authorization in the budget for a new copy machine for both the Borough Clerk and Borough Hall. She explained that several companies had been contacted for

quote, and although all of the quotes were very close in price, Xerox offered a greater level of service. She advised that the Borough can partner with the Board of Education and on-site maintenance would be provided. The agreement would be a part of a managed service agreement, but the Borough would have a separate agreement directly with Xerox. Council agreed to authorize the Xerox Copier Agreement at the February 15th Public Meeting.

C. Honig asked that Council address item 1-J next and Council agreed to this request.

J. Meeting Agenda Format

C. Honig questioned how items are placed onto the agenda. C. LaMastra advised that a member of the Council can request items to be placed onto the agenda through Committee Reports and For Good of the Order. She also explained that Council had agreed that nothing should be placed onto the agenda that does not have proper backup. Mayor Rustin added that if an item is time-sensitive and it cannot wait to be addressed at a meeting during Committee Reports or For the Good of the Order, the Councilmember should go to either the Borough Administrator or Clerk to ask that the item be placed on the agenda.

C. LaMastra requested that when Ad-Hoc Committees are formed, they are given a time-frame in which to work with a date to report back to the Council. She also asked that the To Be Considered be better prioritized. Council also asked that they be consulted before presentations are scheduled. Council agreed that the extra Tuesday should be used for any special presentations. They also decided to continue the three-meeting schedule through the summer and place onto an agenda in September to consider whether a fourth meeting is needed.

F. Café Angelique Lease Agreement.

Mr. McClure reported that Café Angelique is asking to renew their lease agreement. He advised that they were supposed to have given notice 180 days in advance, but there was no record of this notice in writing. Mrs. Thompson-Chin informed Council that a letter has since been submitted requesting an extension of their lease agreement through December 31, 2013. C. LaMastra questioned whether fire violations had been addressed. Council asked to have a report on the violations at the next work session. Council agreed that the lease agreement renewal would be listed for approval at the February 15th Public Meeting.

G. Dog Park.

Mayor Rustin informed Council that, with the input of the Borough Engineer, the proposed location for the Dog Park would be Roosevelt Commons. He suggested that he put together a team of residents who would be responsible for the costs of installing and maintaining the park. He expressed his opinion that this park would be a tremendous enhancement to the quality of life in Tenafly. C. Lattif questioned whether there is a diagram of the proposed location. Mayor Rustin is to bring the schematic to the Council and this idea would be further discussed once Council has had the opportunity to review the schematic.

H. Broadcast of Council Meetings

Mayor Rustin expressed his opinion that C. Honig's son could record the meetings so long as the recording is not edited and it is placed on either a website or Channel 77 where it is accessible to everyone. C. Honig responded that he feels that it should be placed in a way such that people can have on-demand access such as the Borough's website. Mrs. Thompson-Chin advised that the Police Headquarters Special Meeting had been placed onto the website for viewing, so it is a possibility. She also remarked that the Board of Education's proposal for the broadcast of meetings needs to be revisited as the information provided is over two years old. C. Honig is to advise Council whether the recording of this meeting is of broadcast quality and then the Council

would decide how to expand upon it. Mrs. Thompson-Chin will also reach out to the Board of Education for an updated proposal of current costs for equipment.

K. Major Tree Removal Process

Council had decided at the January 25th Work Session to schedule the Major Tree Removal Hearing for the February 8th Public Meeting. However, due to the requirements of the ordinance, February 8th is too soon to conduct this hearing. Council agreed to postpone the hearing until the March 8th Public Meeting to allow the proper amount of time for the applicant to publish the notice of the hearing and provide proof of publication to the Council.

L. Budget Review Dates – List of Potential Meeting Dates

Council agreed to schedule Budget Meetings for February 24, February 28, March 3, March 7, and March 10, 2011. All meetings will commence at 7:30 p.m. and end no later than 10:00 p.m. It was also decided that the schedule of meetings with Department Heads would be determined at the February 24th Budget Meeting.

2. **ADMINISTRATOR’S REPORT**

A. 2011 Newsletters – Review of Communication Costs.

Mrs. Thompson-Chin expressed her opinion that cost saving can be obtained by making changes to the various the newsletter is an area worth looking at for cost savings. She discussed the various newsletters produced by the Borough and the cost associated with each. She stated that she had conducted a survey of 14 surrounding communities and of the 14, 7 publish newsletters and there was an even split between those who publish quarterly and semi-annually. She advised that some towns do not produce a newsletter but rather use their website as a means to inform the public.

Mrs. Thompson-Chin informed Council of a few ways in which cost savings can be realized such as changing the grade of paper, eliminating the duplication of information to reduce the number of pages, and reducing the number of publications printed. She advised Council that she is also looking into the timing of the release of the various newsletters so that they can better support each other.

Council agreed that the cost savings visited by the Borough Administrator were good areas to investigate and this topic would be discussed again at budget time.

B. Request for Qualifications – Labor Attorney/Auditor

Mrs. Thompson-Chin informed Council that C. Honig had requested that some very specific questions be part of an RFQ for Labor Attorney. She reminded Council that an RFQ is different than an RFP and questions of this nature should be saved for the interview process. She stated that these questions can be sent to the prospective firms in advance so that detailed answers can be given during the interview.

C. Honig questioned whether it was now the Council’s responsibility to appoint the Labor Attorney given that 30 days have elapsed since the original appointment was made. He further questioned whether an RFQ is necessary or whether, under the ordinance, the Council can simply appoint someone. C. Honig pointed out that negotiations are currently underway and the process needs to be expedited. Mr. McClure advised that the Labor Attorney is not one of the officers of the municipality that is covered by the ordinance to which C. Honig referred, but stated that Council is not required to do an RFP or RFQ. Mrs. Thompson-Chin expressed her preference to have an open solicitation process.

After some discussion, Council decided to have the Borough Administrator reach out to schedule interviews with Brian Kronick, speaker at the League, Mark Ruderman, labor attorney in several neighboring municipalities, and at least two more candidates from unsolicited responses that Mrs. Thompson-Chin has received. The Labor Attorney Sub-Committee of C. Warns, C. Honig, and Mayor Rustin would participate in the interviews and come back with a recommendation to Council. Council also decided to have place the resolution to authorize the RFQ for Borough Engineer and Auditor onto the February 15th Public Meeting agenda.

C. Revaluation Update

Mrs. Thompson-Chin advised that there were approximately 500 meetings between residents and the revaluation company. She stated that some of these meetings resulted in re-inspections. She informed Council that the revaluation is on target to be finalized by February 28, 2011. Postcards will be sent to residents in March advising them of their new assessments. She ended by advising the Council that the appeal deadline has been extended to May 1, 2011 as is the procedure in a revaluation year. C. Latttif questioned how the assessments were affected in the various geographic regions. Mrs. Thompson-Chin responded that in speaking to the assessor, this revaluation did not follow the traditional pattern of a third going up, a third staying the same, and a third doing down. Instead, most property values either increased or decreased. Council asked that the Borough Administrator get more information about the impact of the revaluation on the specific geographic regions of the town.

3. **CORRESPONDENCE**

A. Huyler Park Committee Re: Gazebo.

Mayor Rustin advised that he had picked up the check from the Chamber of Commerce. Council asked that a thank you letter be sent to the Chamber on Mayor and Council stationary. Council also requested that the Chamber's donation be mentioned in the Huyler Park section of the newsletter. Mrs. Thompson-Chin advised that this phase of construction will be discussed at the next Projects Committee meeting.

B. Robert Kricheff/28 Stonybrook Rd Re: Parking Restrictions/Engle Street

Council asked that this letter be referred to Chief Bruno for his recommendations.

5. **RESOLUTIONS** for meeting of February 8, 2011:

- A. Approve Expenditures as of February 2, 2011
- B. Approve Refund/Tree Mitigation Escrow/185 Tenafly Rd/\$80.00
- C. Approve Refund/Tree Mitigation Escrow/149 Sunset Ln/\$200.00
- D. Approve Refund/Tree Mitigation Escrow/62 Ridge Rd/\$1,180.00
- E. Approve Refund/Tree Mitigation Escrow/104 Kent Rd/\$690.00
- F. Approve 2011 Agreement with Mid-Bergen Regional Health Commission.
- G. Approve 2011 Agreement with Health Awareness Regional Program of the Hackensack University Medical Center.
- H. Approve 2011 Agreement with Valley Health Medical Group.
- I. Approve Raffle Application #RA984/Temple Sinai of Bergen County Sisterhood/
3-19-11

6. **PUBLIC HEARINGS for the meeting of February 8, 2011:**

A. **Ordinances:** None

B. **Other:** None

7. **COMMITTEE REPORTS**

None.

8. **Minutes** to be approved: None.

9. **OLD BUSINESS**

A. Holy Name Medical Center Request for PACE Endorsement – Board of Health Review.

Council reviewed the Board of Health’s recommendation and agreed with their finding.

10. **FOR THE GOOD OF THE ORDER**

C. Honig requested that asked that there be a discussion regarding the email policy and what can and cannot be discussed through email. Mayor Rustin advised that the Council had drafted an email policy and asked that the Borough Administrator send the policy to C. Honig electronically. C. Honig also asked whether the equipment was available for participation in meetings telephonically. Ms. Dodd was directed to check with the provider of our recording equipment to see if it is possible.

C. Kerge expressed her discontent with the lack of public awareness about the applications that are before the various boards and committees. Mr. McClure advised that there are no legal issues with C. Kerge contacting the newspapers to inform them of applications that are before the boards or committees. Council also requested that information be placed on the electronic sign.

Mayor Rustin advised that Kirk Loftberg had resigned from the Board of Adjustment and he had received a résumé from Jeff Levine for the position. He asked that Council review the résumé and advise if they had any comment or questions. He also asked that Council advise if they had anyone else in mind for this position.

CLOSED SESSION

Mayor Rustin recognized Borough Attorney William McClure, who read into the record Resolution 11-68

BE IT RESOLVED in compliance with NJSA 10:4-12, the Mayor and Council of the Borough of Tenafly are going into Closed Executive Session to discuss the following matters:

- A. Collective Bargaining
 - 1. Contract Negotiations Updates
- B. Litigation
 - 1. State v. Sheets.

Minutes will be taken of the meeting and released to the public at the time that the matter is resolved. After Closed Executive Session, the Mayor and Council will reconvene in the Richard D. Wilson Committee Room to adjourn this public meeting.

There being no further business to come before the Council, on a motion from C. LaMastra, seconded by C. Honig, and all present voting in favor, the meeting was adjourned to the Closed Session in the Committee Room at 11:12 p.m.

ADJOURNMENT

As there was no further business to come before the Council, on a motion by C. LaMastra, second by C. Warms, and unanimously carried, to adjourn this meeting. The meeting was adjourned at 11:32 p.m.